

Limb-Darkening of a K Giant in the Galactic Bulge: PLANET Photometry of MACHO 97-BLG-28

M.D. Albrow^{1,2}, J.-P. Beaulieu³, J. A. R. Caldwell¹,
M. Dominik^{3,4,5}, J. Greenhill⁶, K. Hill⁶, S. Kane^{4,6}, R. Martin⁷,
J. Menzies¹, R. M. Naber³, J.-W. Pel³, K. Pollard^{1,2},
P. D. Sackett³, K. C. Sahu⁴, P. Vermaak¹, R. Watson⁶, A. Williams⁷

(The PLANET Collaboration)

and M. S. Sahu^{8,9}

¹South African Astronomical Observatory, P.O. Box 9, Observatory 7935, South Africa

²Univ. of Canterbury, Dept. of Physics & Astronomy, Private Bag 4800, Christchurch, New Zealand

³Kapteyn Astronomical Institute, Postbus 800, 9700 AV Groningen, The Netherlands

⁴Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore, MD 21218 U.S.A.

⁵Universität Dortmund, Institut für Physik, 44221 Dortmund, Germany

⁶Univ. of Tasmania, Physics Dept., G.P.O. 252C, Hobart, Tasmania 7001, Australia

⁷Perth Observatory, Walnut Road, Bickley, Perth 6076, Australia

⁸NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center, Code 681, Greenbelt, MD 20771 U.S.A.

⁹National Optical Astronomy Observatories, 950 N. Cherry Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85719-4933 U.S.A.

ABSTRACT

We present the PLANET photometric dataset* for the binary-lens microlensing event MACHO 97-BLG-28 consisting of 696 I and V -band measurements, and analyze it to determine the radial surface brightness profile of the Galactic bulge source star. The microlensed source, demonstrated to be a K giant by our independent spectroscopy, crossed an isolated cusp of the central caustic of the lensing binary, generating a sharp peak in the light curve that was well-resolved by dense (3 — 30 minute) and continuous monitoring from PLANET sites in Chile, South Africa, and Australia. This is the first time that such a cusp crossing has been observed. Analysis of the PLANET dataset has produced a measurement of the square root limb-darkening coefficients of the source star in the I and V bands; the resulting stellar profiles are in excellent agreement with those predicted by stellar atmospheric models for K giants. The limb-darkening coefficients presented here are the first derived from microlensing. They are also among the first for normal giants by any technique and the first for any star as distant as the Galactic bulge. Modeling of our light curve for MACHO 97-BLG-28 indicates that the lensing binary has a mass ratio $q = 0.23$ and an (instantaneous) separation in units of the angular Einstein ring radius of $d = 0.69$. For a lens in the Galactic bulge, this corresponds to a typical stellar binary with a projected separation between 1 and 2 AU. If the lens lies closer (i.e., in the Galactic disk), the separation is smaller, and one or both of the lens objects is in the brown dwarf regime. Assuming that the source is a bulge K2 giant at 8 kpc, the relative lens-source proper motion is $\mu = 19.4 \pm 2.6 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-1}$, consistent with a disk or bulge lens. If the non-lensed blended light is due to a single star, it is likely to be a young white dwarf in the bulge, consistent with the blended light coming from the lens itself.

Subject headings: binaries — stars: atmospheres and fundamental parameters — Galaxy: stellar content — techniques: microlensing

*Based on observations at: Canopus Observatory, Tasmania, Australia; the European Southern Observatory, La Silla, Chile; and the South African Astronomical Observatory, Sutherland, South Africa.

1. Introduction

The PLANET (Probing Lensing Anomalies NETwork) collaboration uses a longitudinally-distributed network of telescopes in the southern hemisphere to perform densely-sampled, precise photometric monitoring of Galactic microlensing events with the express goal of searching for anomalies, especially those that may betray the presence of extrasolar planets (Albrow et al. 1998) orbiting the lenses. Multiple lenses, such as lensing binary stars or planetary systems, generate measurably anomalous light curves if the background source comes close to a caustic generated by the lens; direct transits of a caustic can cause sudden and dramatic changes (amplification $\gtrsim 10$ on time scales of hours) in the apparent brightness of the source. Three such microlensing events were monitored by PLANET in its 1997 Galactic bulge observing season; here we describe our results for one of these, MACHO 97-BLG-28.

Although typical subgiants and giants in the Galactic bulge have radii that subtend only $\sim 1\text{--}10$ microarcseconds (μas), the dramatic change in magnification in the vicinity of caustics can selectively magnify the spatial structure of the source star by huge factors, momentarily acting as a very high-resolution, large-aperture telescope trained on the background source. These relatively rare but spectacular lensing events are particularly important because they can yield otherwise unobtainable information about the lens and source.

Generally speaking, the only physical parameter that can be deduced from non-anomalous microlensing light curves is the characteristic time scale t_E of the event, defined as the time required for the lens to move an angular distance across the observer’s sight line to the source equal to the angular Einstein radius θ_E

$$\theta_E = \sqrt{\frac{4GM}{c^2} \frac{D_{LS}}{D_L D_S}}, \quad (1)$$

where M is the total mass of the lens and D_L , D_S , and D_{LS} denote the observer-lens, observer-source and lens-source linear distances, respectively.

In caustic crossing events, photometric monitoring can allow more information to be obtained, including the relative proper motion μ of the lens-source system and — if the coverage is complete over the caustic — the surface brightness profile of the source star. During a caustic transit, the radial surface brightness profile, or limb-darkening, of the source influences the shape of the resulting light curve (Witt 1995). Photometric data of sufficient precision and temporal resolution can thus be inverted to deduce the limb-darkening profile of source stars as distant as the Galactic bulge (Bogdanov & Cherepashchuk 1996; Sasselov 1997), including possible chromatic effects induced by

differential limb-darkening at different wavelengths (Bogdanov & Cherepashchuk 1995a, 1995b; Valls-Gabaud 1995, 1998; Gould & Welch 1996). Time-resolved spectroscopic monitoring with very large aperture telescopes may reveal even more information, including detailed information about stellar atmospheric physics (Loeb & Sasselov 1995; Gaudi & Gould 1999; Heyrovský, Sasselov & Loeb 1999) and metallicity (Lennon et al. 1996) of the sources.

One of the most important observational constraints on models of stellar atmospheres has come from limb-darkening measurements of the Sun, a relatively cool dwarf. Constraints on the atmospheres of other types of stars are more difficult to obtain. Measurements of radial profiles, or more specifically, limb-darkening parameters, have been attempted for only a handful of stars (see Scholz 1997 and references therein). Eclipsing binaries, lunar occultations and interferometry have been used to resolve and measure the surface structure of nearby stars.

The classical method of using the light curves of eclipsing binaries has provided accurate limb-darkening measurements or consistency checks in only a few cases (Popper 1984; Andersen 1991; Ribas et al. 1987), but at least one suggests that a non-linear limb-darkening law is indicated (Milone, Stagg & Kurucz 1992). Direct HST imaging of the bright, nearby M2 supergiant Betelgeuse has resulted in estimates for its limb-darkening and other surface irregularities (Gilliland & Dupree 1996). Interferometric techniques also require very large, bright stars (Hanbury Brown et al. 1974; Dyck et al. 1996; Mozurkewich et al. 1991; Mourard et al. 1997), where surface structure is often due to spotting or dust envelopes rather than limb-darkening (Roddier & Roddier 1985; Wilson et al. 1992). Although the interferometric signal is often incompatible with a uniform stellar disk, with the exception of extreme supergiants like Betelgeuse (Cheng, Hege & Hubbard 1986; Burns et al. 1997), theoretical limb-darkening laws are adopted in order to arrive at better stellar radius determinations (Quirrenbach et al. 1996; Hajian et al. 1998). Nevertheless, the technique holds promise for limb-darkening determinations as it begins to probe into the normal K giant regime (Dyck, van Belle & Thompson 1998). Lunar occultations have been used to resolve stellar surface structure (Bogdanov & Cherepashchuk 1984, 1990, 1991; Richichi & Lisi 1990; Di Giacomo et al. 1991), but the dust associated with these supergiants complicates limb-darkening estimates here as well (Richichi et al. 1991, 1995) and surface profiles from theory are generally assumed so as to derive better diameters (White & Feerman 1987; Richichi et al. 1998).

The precision of microlensing in mapping stellar surface brightness profiles can thus make an important contribution to stellar atmospheric theory by providing limb-darkening and spectral information on stars too faint or too small to be studied by traditional

methods. We report here our precise and temporally dense photometric observations of the MACHO-detected microlensing event 97-BLG-28, which when combined with our spectral typing and modeling have produced the first limb-darkening measurement via microlensing, and the first resolution of surface structure in a star so distant (~ 8 kpc).

2. PLANET Observations of MACHO 97-BLG-28

The Galactic bulge microlensing event MACHO 97-BLG-28 was alerted by the MACHO Collaboration on 29 May 1997¹⁰ as a normal event expected to reach peak brightness near 10 June 1997. PLANET began to observe the event directly after the initial alert as part of its normal monitoring program. Within hours of the anomalously rapid increase in its brightness on 14 June 1997, PLANET¹¹ and MACHO/GMAN independently issued electronic alerts announcing 97-BLG-28 as a likely caustic-crossing binary event. Dense photometric PLANET monitoring continued over the peak of the light curve and for about 6 weeks thereafter, with additional data taken near the baseline magnitude in October 1997 and at the beginning of the 1998 season. A pre-caustic spectrum was taken on 31 May 1997.

2.1. Photometric Monitoring

Three PLANET telescopes participated in the monitoring: the Dutch/ESO 0.92 m at La Silla, Chile, the SAAO 1m at Sutherland, South Africa, and the Canopus 1m near Hobart, Tasmania. The full PLANET dataset consists of 686 data points taken in 1997 (508 I-Band: 247 La Silla, 128 SAAO, 133 Tasmania; 178 V-Band: 98 La Silla, 38 SAAO, 42 Tasmania) and another 10 data points (5 I-Band; 5 V-band) collected at the SAAO 1m in March and April of 1998. For details concerning the telescopes, detectors, and field sizes, refer to Albrow et al. (1998). The data were reduced with DoPHOT (Schechter, Mateo & Saha 1993) using fixed position catalogs and four stars in the field chosen to be stable, moderately bright and relatively uncrowded as a relative flux standard (Albrow et al. 1998). Instrumental magnitudes were calibrated against contemporaneous observations of Johnson-Cousins, UBV(RI)c E-region standards (Menzies et al. 1989) made at SAAO at the beginning of the 1998 season. As we describe in §3.1, individual zero points for photometry at different PLANET sites allow us to refer all our multi-site data to the

¹⁰MACHO alerts are posted at <http://darkstar.astro.washington.edu>

¹¹PLANET anomaly alerts are posted at <http://www.astro.rug.nl/~planet>

SAAO natural system, and thus to the standard system. Measurements taken in 1998 and calibrated in the manner described above produced baseline magnitudes of the source star of Johnson $V = 17.91$ and Cousins $I = 15.66$, accurate to 0.05 mag.

Whenever the event was fainter than $I \approx 14.7$, measurements derived from frames with poor image quality ($\text{FWHM} > 2.2''$) were clearly and systematically fainter than those from moderate to high quality images, and had a scatter that was substantially larger than the DOPHOT-reported error bars. In order to avoid systematically biasing our modeling, measurements with $I \gtrsim 14.7$ (corresponding to $V \gtrsim 17$) and $\text{FWHM} > 2.2''$ were excluded from the fitting procedure to extract lens and source parameters. In addition, one particularly poor image that produced measurements that deviated by 0.75 mag for constant stars as bright as $I = 14$ was also excluded. This high-quality subset of our data¹² consists of 586 data points (431 I-Band: 247 La Silla, 130 SAAO, 54 Tasmania; 155 V-Band: 98 La Silla, 41 SAAO, 16 Tasmania) and is displayed in Fig. 1.

2.2. Spectroscopy

On 31 May 1997, prior to the anomalous peak of MACHO 97-BLG-28, red and blue spectra were taken of the source star by Dr. M. Sahu using the high-throughput EFOSC (ESO Faint Object Spectrographic Camera) on the ESO 3.6m at La Silla, Chile. The camera has both imaging and spectroscopic capabilities, and can hold 5 gratings simultaneously so that multiple spectral resolutions and bandpasses can be easily configured.

A direct image of the field was first obtained with an exposure of 10 sec. The 512×512 pixel Tektronix CCD provided a $5.2' \times 5.2'$ field of view. No filter was used in order to minimize any possible offset between the slit and the direct image. A slit of $1''.5$ width was used for all the observations, and was placed in the correct parallactic angle. Observations were conducted through thin cirrus clouds in seeing of $\sim 1''.0$. The blue spectrum was obtained at 04:40 UT using the B150 grating, which covers 3900-5300 Å, with a resolution of about 5Å. The red spectrum was obtained at 05:25 UT using the O150 grating, which covers the wavelength range 5200 - 6800 Å, with the same resolution. The exposure time in both cases was 2400 sec.

The image processing software packages MIDAS and IRAF were used to reduce the spectral data. After bias subtraction, the frames were flat-field corrected with an average of 5 flat-field images of the dome illuminated with a tungsten lamp. To ensure good sky

¹²This PLANET dataset for MACHO 97-BLG-28 is publicly available at <http://www.astro.rug.nl/~planet>

subtraction, sky levels were determined from both sides of the spectrum. The resulting one-dimensional spectrum was then wavelength calibrated with a He-Ar spectral lamp and flux calibrated against the standard star LTT 4364. Shown in Fig. 2 is the resulting geocentric (lab frame), combined spectrum for the source star of 97-BLG-28. Obvious cosmic rays have been removed. The spectral resolution is $\sim 5\text{\AA}$, corresponding to a velocity resolution of about 260 km s^{-1} at the strong NaI absorption feature near $5890\text{\AA}$. The heliocentric correction for the velocities is 9.5 km s^{-1} , which is negligible compared to the resolution of the spectrum.

2.3. Radius and Spectral Type of the Source

Comparison of the flux-calibrated spectrum shown in Fig. 2 with spectral synthesis codes (FITGRID and SPECFIT tasks of IRAF) yields the best match to a K2III star of solar metallicity, effective temperature $T = 4350\text{ K}$ and surface gravity $\log g = 1.9$. The strong NaI line is not typical of a star of this type, but the equivalent width of the line is entirely consistent with interstellar absorption along this long sight line to the bulge source star at celestial (J2000) coordinates $\alpha = 18^{\text{h}}00^{\text{m}}33.8^{\text{s}}$ and $\delta = -28^{\circ}01'10''$, corresponding to Galactic $l = 2.46^{\circ}$ and $b = -2.36^{\circ}$. Some ambiguity remains in the spectral solution depending on the extinction to the source, but the best fit for the reddening of the spectrum and the radius of the source are consistent with that derived from an independent analysis of the color-magnitude diagram of field, described below.

The radius of the source star was determined by considering its position in the $(V - I)_0 - I_0$ color-magnitude diagram (CMD) relative to red clump (RC) stars in the Galactic bulge. Our CMD was derived from observations taken at the SAAO 1m, calibrated by reference to E-region standard star baseline data in 1998.

The absolute I magnitude of the peak of the RC luminosity function in the bulge has been determined to be $I_{RC} = -0.23 \pm 0.03$ (Stanek & Garnavich 1998; Paczyński & Stanek 1998). We have fitted the I -magnitude distribution of the RC stars in our sample using the same function as Stanek & Garnavich (1998). Based on the distribution of stars in CMD, we find that there is an equal probability that the 97-BLG-28 source star is in the RC or on the red giant branch (RGB). Possible values for the average field reddening were determined by fitting the observed RGB to the Bertelli et al. (1994) isochrones for ages of 3.1 and 10 Gyr and metallicities $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.4, 0, +0.4$, resulting in the range of reddening values $0.8 < E(V - I) < 1.2$. Stanek’s (1998) reddening map of the Galactic plane indicates a gradient of $\sim 0.2\text{ mag}$ across the $3 \times 3\text{ arcmin}$ SAAO field; interpolation at the position of the microlensing event gives $E(B - V) = 0.88$, corresponding to $E(V - I) = 1.10$.

For each value of plausible reddening, we used the known RC absolute magnitude to rescale our CMD, treating the distance modulus as a free parameter. For each isochrone fit to the RGB for a given age and metallicity, other isochrones were also plotted to allow for the possibility that the source star may differ in age or metallicity from the RGB mean. In all cases for which a reasonable fit ($\Delta(V - I)_0 < 0.1$ mag) was found for the source being on the RGB or in the RC, the source radius was calculated from the appropriate isochrone. Reasonable consistency emerged among the 25 resulting radius values, with all in the range $13 < R_*/R_\odot < 20$, and a mean value of $R_*/R_\odot = 15 \pm 2$ ($1\text{-}\sigma$ uncertainty). This result will be used together with the modeling in §5 to estimate the relative proper motion of the lens with respect to the source.

In Fig. 3, we show our CMD for the field of 97-BLG-28, dereddened with our fitted value of $E(V - I) = 1.095$ ($A_I = 1.56$) over the whole of the field. The position of the observed (blended) source star at baseline is shown as the filled circle in Fig. 3, where it is assumed that the source suffers the same reddening as the field mean. Our derived dereddened color of $(V - I)_0 = 1.16$ from CMD considerations is in excellent agreement with the $(V - I)_0 = 1.14$ (Bessell 1990) expected for our K2 giant spectral typing of this source.

3. An Extended-source, Binary-lens Model for MACHO 97-BLG-28

The abrupt change of slope in the light curve near HJD - 2449719 = 896.4 evident in Fig. 4 suggests that the source star has crossed the caustic structure of a binary lens. The single nearly-symmetric finite peak near HJD - 2449719 = 895.6 further suggests that the extent of the source is resolved by the observations and that the source passed behind an isolated cusp of the caustic geometry on a nearly perpendicular trajectory. This lensing geometry is indeed borne out by our modeling of the light curve for 97-BLG-28, as can be seen in Fig. 5. To reproduce the features in the observed light curve it was necessary to include lens binarity, source blending, extended source size, and source limb-darkening in this model. Together with allowances for possible photometric offsets between the 3 observing sites in both bands, this requires a total of 19 fit parameters, as we now explain.

We label each subset of the data by observing site and filter. Let k denote the number of such data subsets. A model with a point-source and point-lens will require $3 + k$ parameters: $t_E = \theta_E/\mu$, the (Einstein) time required for the lens to move an angular distance θ_E (Eq. 1); u_0 , the smallest lens-source angular separation in units of θ_E ; t_0 , the time at which this smallest separation occurs; and the set of k m_0^i , the multi-site, multi-band baseline magnitudes. Individual baselines are required for each site because although all

data of a given band are referred to the same secondary field standards, we often find that small discrepancies remain in our multi-site photometry that appear to be related to differences in detector resolution and average seeing conditions (Albrow et al. 1998).

Parametrization of a binary lens composed of two objects with mass M_1 and M_2 requires an additional 3 parameters: d , the angular binary separation in units of θ_E ; $q = M_2/M_1$, the binary mass ratio; and α , the angle between the line from M_2 to M_1 and the direction of source proper motion (relative to the lens). These parameters and the direction of proper motion are chosen so that $u_0 \geq 0$, $0 \leq \alpha < 2\pi$, $0 < q \leq 1$, and the midpoint of the lens system is on the right hand side of the moving source as viewed by the observer. For a binary system, the smallest angular separation u_0 between lens and source now refers to the midpoint of the lens system, and the angular Einstein radius θ_E refers to the total mass $M = M_1 + M_2$.

The baseline magnitudes include all light, including any that may be due to any unresolved, non-lensed light that may be confused with source light in the observations. To account for the possibility of such photometric confusion, blending parameters f_j ($j = 1 \dots n$) must be added for each of the observed n wave bands to characterize the fraction of the total light contributed by the source alone at baseline. No blending in wave bands j corresponds to $f_j = 1$. The extended size of the source is characterized by an additional parameter $\rho_* \equiv \theta_*/\theta_E$, the angular radius of the source in units of the Einstein radius. Finally, the light intensity profile of the source may be limb-darkened rather than uniform over the stellar disk. We adopt a limb-darkening law of the form

$$I_\lambda(\vartheta) = I_\lambda(0) \left[1 - c_\lambda (1 - \cos \vartheta) - d_\lambda (1 - \sqrt{\cos \vartheta}) \right], \quad (2)$$

where ϑ is the angle between the normal to the stellar surface and the line of sight. The coefficients c_λ and d_λ are wavelength dependent, requiring an additional $2n$ parameters.

The inclusion of all these effects thus requires $7 + k + 3n$ fit parameters. For the 3 PLANET sites, each observing MACHO 97-BLG-28 in V and I , $k = 6$ and $n = 2$, thus necessitating 19 parameters in the full model.

3.1. Fitting the Model to the Data

We fit three different extended-source binary-lens models, with either a uniform source or a 1- or 2-parameter limb-darkened source, to the combined, high-quality dataset of 586 CCD frames (§2.1) using the formal uncertainties reported by DoPHOT. Details of calculating the light curves for such models can be found in the work of Dominik (1998). The multi-site, multi-band baselines were allowed to vary independently in the fitting process;

this photometric alignment resulted in relative multi-site offsets of $0.007 - 0.065$ mag in our best model.

In general, it is a difficult task to find a minimum in high-dimensional parameter space. However, in this case, nearly optimal values for some parameters could be found by first searching in lower-dimensional subspaces. The six baselines could be estimated from the latest data points and then fitted with a point-lens model together with the parameters u_0 , t_0 , t_E and the two blending parameters, using the data points outside the peak region. We thus began fitting the binary-lens extended-source models only after we had good guesses for 11 of the parameters. Parameters from the uniform source fit then provided us with good initial estimates for a total of 15 parameters, requiring only 2 or 4 additional parameters when including limb-darkening.

Our best fit was achieved for a 2-parameter limb-darkening model (LD2), and yielded a $\chi^2_{\min}$ of 1913 for the 567 degrees of freedom (d.o.f). This model is displayed in the left panels of Fig. 4 and its fit parameters are listed in Table 1. If the LD2 model is indeed the best representation of the data, then the reduced $\chi^2_{\min}/\text{d.o.f.} = 3.374$ is an indication that the DOPHOT uncertainties are on average underestimated by a factor ~ 1.8 , consistent with our previous experience (Albrow et al. 1998).

The corresponding source trajectory and caustic structure for the LD2 model shown in Fig. 5 illustrate that the cusp caustic of the binary lens just sweeps over the limb of the extended source, the first clear observation of such a cusp crossing. Such a crossing differentially magnifies different portions of the source as a function of time (top panel, Fig. 6). Because the central ring crosses almost directly over the cusp, it experiences the greatest magnification. The fraction of light contributed by concentric rings (of equal area) on the stellar disk therefore also varies during the anomaly (bottom panel, Fig. 6), allowing the surface profile, and thus limb-darkening, of the source to be deduced. For the specific geometry of 97-BLG-28, the contrast between the fraction of light contributed by the inner and outermost of 10 equal-area rings is a factor ~ 3 during the cusp crossing. The PLANET dataset is well-sampled everywhere during the cusp crossing except in the 12-hour period near $\text{HJD} - 2449719 = 895$.

4. Limb-Darkening of the Bulge K Giant Source Star

In addition to our best fitting model with square root limb-darkening coefficients (LD2), Table 1 also lists the parameters for two other models: a fit with a linear, 1-parameter, limb-darkening law (LD1), which yields $\chi^2_{\min}$ of 1930 for 569 d.o.f., and a fit with a

uniformly bright source (UB), which yields $\chi^2_{\min}$ of 3255 for 571 d.o.f. Under the assumption that our 2-parameter limb-darkening model is indeed the best representation of the data, the large χ^2 compared to the number of degrees of freedom must be attributed to a misestimation of the experimental uncertainties. This is not unexpected since our previous experience in crowded fields indicates that DOPHOT formal uncertainties are smaller than the true scatter in the photometry of constant stars by an amount that depends on the crowding of the star (Albrow et al. 1998). In order to assess conservatively the differences in $\chi^2_{\min}$ between models, therefore, we first rescale the formal DOPHOT uncertainties by $\sqrt{1913/567} = \sqrt{3.374} \approx 1.8$, which is tantamount to the assumption that the LD2 model is perfect and would yield a true $\chi^2_{\min} = 567$ if the “true” photometric uncertainties were known. The rescaling is global, preserving the relative uncertainty between points, and conservative, allowing for the possibility that part of the $\Delta\chi^2_{\min}$ between models can be due to the underestimation of photometric uncertainties by DOPHOT. The rescaled $\chi^2_{\min}$ of the LD1 and UB models are thus 572 and 965, respectively.

The significance of the limb-darkened LD1 and LD2 models over the uniform brightness UB model is enormous: using the rescaled uncertainties, $\Delta\chi^2_{\min} = 393$ between the LD1 and UB models, and $\Delta\chi^2_{\min} = 398$ between LD2 and UB. This precipitous drop in $\chi^2_{\min}$ with the addition of the limb-darkening parameters leaves no doubt that limb-darkening has been detected to an exceedingly high degree of confidence in the PLANET photometry of this event. The enlargements of the light curve in Fig. 4 show how precisely the 2-parameter limb-darkening model (LD2) reproduces the detail in the cusp and limb regions in both the *I* and *V* bands. On the right of the same figure, these sections of the light curve are overplotted with the uniform source model (UB). The multi-site photometry is aligned automatically by the fitting procedure; this photometric alignment is somewhat different for the two models. Even given the freedom to photometrically realign the data from different sites relative to one another, the UB model fails to fit the shape of the convexity at peak and the gentle slope of the curve as the limb of the star egresses. The failure of the uniformly bright model to reproduce the structure in the light curve during the limb crossing can be seen most dramatically in Fig. 7, where the residuals of the LD2 and UB models are shown.

If the smaller normalized $\chi^2_{\min}$ of the LD2 model compared to the LD1 models is not physically significant, but instead only due to the freedom of adjusting the additional d_λ parameters, we would expect $\Delta\chi^2_{\min}$ to be distributed as χ^2 with a number of degrees of freedom equal to the number of additional parameters (Press et al. 1986, Chapter 14). The significance of the LD2 model over the LD1 model is thus determined by the 8.2% probability of obtaining by chance a $\Delta\chi^2_{\min} > 5$ improvement with two additional degrees of freedom, corresponding to a marginal 1.7σ detection of a surface profile that deviates from

the 1-parameter limb-darkening law in both bands. This marginal improvement indicates that the inclusion of additional profile fitting parameters beyond those included in the LD2 model is unlikely to result in a significantly better fit; the LD2 model contains all the information that we are able to pull from this dataset about the source profile.

The large difference in $\Delta\chi_{\min}^2$ between the limb-darkened and uniform bright models is not due solely to the cusp-crossing portions of the light curve. Since the model parameters are correlated, even those parameters unrelated to the source profile differ between the uniform source model and the limb-darkened models, as inspection of Table 1 indicates. Interestingly, the limb-darkened models always required a smaller photometric offset between sites in both bands. The uniform brightness model apparently attempted to match the observed shape of the light curve at the limb by adjusting the photometric offsets slightly, hindering the χ^2 performance of the UB model elsewhere in the light curve. The clear signature of limb-darkening is revealed only with high precision data during the few hours when the limb of the star is grazing the caustic; high-quality data over the whole of the light curve is required, however, to obtain a full and accurate microlensing solution.

4.1. Robustness of the Measurement

Another test of the reliability of our limb-darkening parameters is provided by fits we performed on the full dataset, including the poorer quality frames, but now estimating the photometric uncertainties empirically so that the size of the error bar scales with the overall frame quality. Specifically, we set the uncertainty of the event magnitude on a given frame equal to the average deviation of all similarly-bright constant stars from their average magnitude. We also eliminated the baseline points taken in 1998 in order to test whether the availability of a long temporal baseline was important to our conclusions about limb-darkening. The resulting $\chi_{\min}^2$ and fit parameters are given in the last three columns of Table 1 for the three source models. The value of $\chi_{\min}^2$ now appears to be too small primarily due to the fact that 97-BLG-28 is less crowded than a typical star of its brightness, so that the frame quality underestimates are overestimates of its true photometric scatter. What is important to note is that the uniformly bright source is again strongly ruled out and that all model parameters are left almost entirely unaffected by this alternate selection and treatment of the data, demonstrating the model’s robustness.

Both of the simpler models are special cases of the LD2 family of models: the LD1 model requires the limb-darkening parameter $d_\lambda = 0$ in both I and V , while the UB model sets $c_\lambda = d_\lambda = 0$ in both bands. This means that our LD1 and UB models, which correspond to points on the χ^2 hypersurface over restricted portions of the LD2 parameter space,

also correspond to points on the full LD2 χ^2 hypersurface. The probability of obtaining a renormalized χ^2 that is larger than that of the LD1 solution is $> 10\%$ for both the DOPHOT and frame quality methods of estimating relative photometric uncertainties; the probability of obtaining a renormalized χ^2 larger than that of the UB is negligibly small.

We conclude that the 2-parameter limb-darkening model is clearly superior to the uniform source model and marginally superior to the 1-parameter limb-darkening model. We now adopt it as our best model and use it to derive the physical parameters for the lens and the surface brightness profile of the source, which we now compare to expectations from stellar atmosphere models.

4.2. Comparison to Stellar Atmospheric Models

As we discussed in §2, both spectroscopic and photometric considerations indicate that the source star of 97-BLG-28 is a K giant in the Galactic bulge, with K2III being the most probable typing. The fits to our photometric data discussed in §3 yield the limb-darkening coefficients c_λ and d_λ for the V and I bands separately. The corresponding surface brightness profiles for the source star, normalized so as to give a total flux equal to unity, are shown in Fig. 8 for our LD2 model of the high-quality photometric dataset using DOPHOT estimates for the relative photometric uncertainties. The surface brightness profiles derived from modeling the full dataset with empirical “frame quality” error bars produces nearly identical profiles (see coefficients in Table 1). Our results clearly indicate that, as expected, this giant is more limb-darkened in V than in I .

Also shown in Fig. 8 are predictions from stellar atmospheric models for K0 and K5 giants from two different groups (vanHamme 1993; Diaz-Cordoves, Claret & Gimenez 1995; Claret, Diaz-Cordoves & Gimenez 1995) both using the square-root limb-darkening law of Eq. 2. We have interpolated between the values given by these authors, labeling as K0 a star with effective temperature $T = 4750$ K and surface gravity $\log g = 2.15$, and as K5 a giant $T = 4000$ K and surface gravity $\log g = 1.75$, in good agreement with standard convention (Lang 1992). The cooler, later type K5 giants are more limb-darkened than their warmer K0 cousins. Although the theoretical I profiles of both groups are nearly identical, the V profiles of Diaz-Cordoves, Claret & Gimenez (1995) are slightly more limb-darkened than those of van Hamme (1993).

The surface brightness profiles derived from our photometric data modeled with the limb-darkening law of Eq. 2 are in excellent agreement with these predictions from atmospheric models. Although Fig. 8 indicates that the source star of 97-BLG-28 may be

slightly more limb-darkened in the I band than current models predict for its spectral type, this conclusion should be treated with considerable caution given the uncertainties in the light curve modeling, spectral typing, and the rather ad hoc nature of the fitting function for the surface brightness profile.

Our results for 97-BLG-28 represent the first time that limb-darkening coefficients have been measured for a microlensing source. Limb-darkening was indicated but not measured by MACHO observations of the high-amplitude single-lens event MACHO 95-BLG-30; fixing limb-darkening coefficients to agree with stellar atmosphere models did produce a fit to the data that was marginally better (normalized $\Delta\chi^2 = 3.4$) than uniform disk models (Alcock et al. 1997b). Our limb-darkening measurement for 97-BLG-28 is among only a very few determinations for normal giants, and represents the first surface brightness profile for any star as distant as the Galactic bulge. The ability of PLANET photometry to yield measurements of limb-darkening coefficients in MACHO 97-BLG-28 is due to the overall characterization of the complete light curve combined with excellent temporal coverage (sampling times $\lesssim 30$ minutes) over peak and limb caustic egress regions.

5. Physical Parameters of the Lens

In caustic crossing events, dense photometric monitoring allows not only the determination of t_E , the time required for the lens to travel an angular distance θ_E , but also a second time scale: the time t_* required to travel the angular source radius θ_* . For uniform rectilinear motion, the ratio t_*/t_E directly yields the angular size of the source in units of the Einstein ring, $\rho_* \equiv \theta_*/\theta_E$. If θ_* can be estimated by other means (e.g., photometric or spectroscopic typing), both θ_E and the relative lens-source proper motion $\mu \equiv \theta_E/t_E$ can thus be determined, yielding important information on lens kinematics (Gould 1994; Nemiroff & Wickramasinghe 1994; Witt & Mao 1994; Peng 1997). To date, proper motions derived from this technique have been published for only two binary events in the Magellanic Clouds, MACHO LMC-09 (Alcock et al. 1997a; Bennett et al. 1995) and MACHO 98-SMC-01 (Afonso et al. 1998; Albrow et al. 1999; Alcock et al. 1999; Udalski et al. 1998; Rhie et al. 1999), and one single-lens (point-caustic) event MACHO 95-BLG-30 in the Galactic bulge (Alcock et al. 1997b).

The relative proper motion can be written in terms of the model parameters t_E and ρ_* , and the physical source size R_* and distance D_S as:

$$\mu = \frac{R_*}{D_S \rho_* t_E}, \quad (3)$$

which for $D_S = 8.0$ kpc and $R_* = 15 R_\odot$, as indicated by the spectral typing of §2.3, yield

a relative proper motion

$$\mu = 19.4 \left(\frac{R_*}{15 R_\odot} \right) \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-1} = 11.2 \left(\frac{R_*}{15 R_\odot} \right) \mu\text{as day}^{-1}. \quad (4)$$

This corresponds to a perpendicular velocity in the lens plane

$$v = x D_S \mu = 155 x \left(\frac{R_*}{15 R_\odot} \right) \text{ km s}^{-1}, \quad (5)$$

where $x \equiv D_L/D_S$. The (total) mass of the lens is given by

$$M = \frac{c^2}{4GD_S} \frac{R_*^2}{\rho_*^2} \frac{x}{1-x} = 0.09 \left(\frac{R_*}{15 R_\odot} \right)^2 M_\odot \frac{x}{1-x}, \quad (6)$$

so that for a disk lens halfway to the Galactic Center ($x = 0.5$), one obtains $v = 78 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and total lens mass $M = 0.09 M_\odot$, whereas a lens embedded in the Bulge with $x = 0.9$ yields $v = 140 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $M = 0.81 M_\odot$. Either value is consistent with Galactic kinematics.

Assuming a source distance $D_S = 8 \text{ kpc}$ and source radius in the range $13 - 17 R_\odot$ (§2.3), the top panel of Fig. 9 gives the mass of the individual lensing components as a function of x . Since the binary mass ratio is $q = 0.234$, it is quite likely that the lighter of the lens objects has the mass of an M dwarf or less; if the lens lies in the Galactic disk with $x < 0.8$, at least the smaller of the binary components is a brown dwarf. If, on the other hand, the lensing binary resides in the bulge $x > 0.8$, the masses of its components would be consistent with that of a typical lower main-sequence binary with a projected separation $a_p = R_* x d / \rho_*$ between 1 and 2 AU (bottom panel, Fig. 9). Disk lenses would have smaller separations.

5.1. Blended Light: Light from the Lens Itself?

Our model fits to 97-BLG-28 yield a fraction $1 - f$ of blended (non-lensed) light that is larger in the V than in the I band, indicating that the blend star is very much bluer than the background source star (Table 1). If the blend is due to a single star, it lies in an underpopulated portion of the CMD (Fig. 3), either because it is not at the mean field distance and has been improperly dereddened, or because it is in a short-lived phase of its evolution. A main sequence star with $(V - I)_0 \lesssim 0.6$ has $I_0 < 3.7$, and thus is so intrinsically bright that it cannot appear as the blend anywhere along the reddening vector of Fig. 3 unless it lies substantially *behind* the bulge, where it is likely to have more reddening than the 97-BLG-28 field, not less.

If, on the other hand, the blend star is somewhat in front of the bulge and experiences slightly less extinction, it could lie on the portion of the isochrone at $(V - I)_0 = -0.4$ that corresponds to the transition zone between planetary nebulae and white dwarfs. Such an interpretation would be consistent, furthermore, with the blended light coming from the lens itself: a white dwarf mass of $M_{\text{WD}} \approx 0.55 M_{\odot}$ is consistent with the mass that the larger lens component (M_1) would have at a distance $x \approx 0.88$, slightly in front of the bulge. This dense portion of the Milky Way is a likely region for microlenses and should have an extinction similar to (but slightly less than) that of the field mean (see Fig. 3). If this explanation is correct, then the smaller lensing component would have a mass just above the hydrogen-burning limit at $M_2 \approx 0.13 M_{\odot}$. These conclusions are valid only if the blended light sensed by the light curve fitting is due to a single star, but any explanation requiring two stars to produce the color of the blend would be even more ad hoc.

6. Conclusions

The temporal coverage of the bulge microlensing event MACHO 97-BLG-28 from three PLANET observing sites longitudinally distributed in the southern hemisphere has resulted in an excellent characterization of the light curve in the I and V bands. The full data set consists of 513 photometric measurements in the I -band and 183 measurements in V , with 586 of these being of very high quality. During a 30-hour period over the peak of the light curve, sampling times between ~ 3 and 30 minutes were continuously maintained from the three sites. Less dense photometric monitoring over other portions of the light curve, combined with baseline measurements taken nearly a year later have resulted in the best-characterized microlensing light curve to date. Our spectrum of 97-BLG-28, taken at moderate magnification, indicates that the source star is likely to be a highly reddened K2 giant of solar metallicity. This conclusion is supported by a comparison of the color-magnitude diagram of the field to theoretical isochrones.

Modeling of the PLANET photometric dataset for 97-BLG-28 clearly indicates that the disk of the resolved source is transited by the cusp of the central caustic generated by a binary lens with mass ratio $q = 0.23$ and instantaneous separation, in units of the Einstein ring radius, of $d = 0.69$. A source of uniform surface brightness is strongly ruled out by our data; the derived square root limb-darkening coefficients in the I and V bands derived from the photometric data alone are in excellent agreement with expectations from stellar atmospheric models for K giants. Under the assumption that the source star is a K2III, the models appear slightly more limb-darkened in I than our measurements, but the difference may not be significant.

All of the conclusions above are independent of the (unknown) lens-source distance ratio x and (rather well-constrained) source distance D_S and radius R_* . Assuming a source in the Galactic bulge at 8 kpc of radius $R_* = 15 \pm 2 R_\odot$, as indicated by our spectral typing, the total mass of the binary and its projected separation can be determined. If the lens resides in the bulge, it is likely to be a lower main sequence binary with both components above the hydrogen burning limit and a projected separation between 1 and 2 AU. If the lens resides in the disk with the lens-source distance ratio $x < 0.8$, the projected separation would be smaller and one or both of the components would have a mass in the brown dwarf regime. Assuming the same source distance and radius, the relative lens-source proper motion derived from our modeling is $\mu = 19.4 \pm 2.6 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-1}$ or $\mu = 11.2 \pm 1.5 \mu\text{as/day}$, consistent with the lens having disk or bulge kinematics. The uncertainty in the proper motion is dominated by the uncertainty in the source radius, not by the characterization of the microlensing light curve. If the unlensed, blended light indicated by our models is due to a single star, its color and magnitude suggest that it is a young white dwarf in the bulge. This conclusion is consistent with the light coming from the lens itself: the larger lens component would have a typical white dwarf mass at a bulge distance of $x \approx 0.88$, in which case its companion would be a very faint late M dwarf.

These results mark the first time that the surface structure of a source star has been measured via microlensing and the first limb-darkening determination of a star as distant as the Galactic Bulge by any technique. As the number of caustic-crossing events alerted in real time continues to rise, and the quality and frequency of photometric monitoring of microlensing light curves continues to improve, microlensing holds the promise of making a substantial contribution to the field of stellar atmospheres by determining the surface profiles of normal stars too faint or small to be easily measured by other techniques.

PLANET thanks the MACHO collaboration for providing the original real-time electronic alert of this event; such alerts are crucial to the success of our intensive microlensing monitoring. We are grateful to observers from the Astronomical Society of Tasmania, especially Bob Coghlan, who made many of the key observations reported here. We thank Andy Gould for reading a penultimate version of this manuscript. Financial support from Nederlands Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek, through award ASTRON 781.76.018, is gratefully acknowledged. PLANET members also wish to thank The Leids Sterrewacht Foundation, the South African Astronomical Observatory, Canopus Observatory, the European Southern Observatory, and Perth Observatory for the generous allocations of time that make our work possible. The work of M.D. has been financed by a research grant from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft while at STScI, Baltimore and by a Marie Curie Fellowship at Kapteyn Institute, Groningen.

REFERENCES

- Afonso, C. et al. (The EROS Collaboration) 1998, A&A, 337, L17
- Albrow, M.D., et al. (The PLANET Collaboration) 1998, ApJ, 509, 687
- Albrow, M.D., et al. (The PLANET Collaboration) 1999, ApJ, 512, 672
- Alcock, C. et al. (The MACHO Collaboration) 1997a, ApJ, 486, 697
- Alcock, C. et al. (The MACHO Collaboration) 1997b, ApJ, 491, 436
- Alcock, C. et al. (The MACHO Collaboration) 1999, submitted to ApJ, astro-ph/9807163
- Andersen, J. 1991, A&A Review, 3, 91
- Bennett, D.P. et al. 1995, in AIP Conf. Proc. 316, Dark Matter (New York: AIP), 77
- Bertelli G., Bressan A., Chiosi C., Fagotto F. & Nasi E. 1994, A&AS, 106, 275
- Bessell, M.S. 1990, PASP, 102, 1181
- Bogdanov M.B. & Cherepashchuk A.M. 1984, Soviet Astronomy, 28, 549
- Bogdanov M.B. & Cherepashchuk A.M. 1990, Soviet Astronomy, 34, 393
- Bogdanov M.B. & Cherepashchuk A.M. 1991, Soviet Astronomy, 35, 392
- Bogdanov M.B. & Cherepashchuk A.M. 1995a, AZh, 72, 873
- Bogdanov M.B. & Cherepashchuk A.M. 1995b, Astronomy Letters, 21, 505
- Bogdanov M.B. & Cherepashchuk A.M. 1996, Astronomy Reports, 40, 713
- Burns, D. et al. 1997, MNRAS, 290, L11
- Cheng A.Y.S., Hege E.K. & Hubbard E.N. 1986, ApJ, 309, 737
- Claret, A., Diaz-Cordoves J., & Gimenez, A., 1995, A&AS, 114, 247
- Diaz-Cordoves J., Claret, A., & Gimenez, A., 1995, A&AS, 110, 329
- Di Giacomo A., Richichi A., Lisi F. & Calamai G. 1991, A&A, 249, 397
- Dominik, M. 1998, A&A, 333, L79
- Dyck H.M., van Belle G.T., & Thompson R.R. 1998, AJ, 116, 981

- Dyck H.M., Benson J.A., van Belle G.T., & Ridgway S.T. 1996, *AJ*, 111, 1705
- Gaudi, B. S. & Gould, A. 1999, *ApJ*, 513, 000, astro-ph/9802205
- Gilliland, R.L. & Dupree, A.K. 1996, *ApJ*, 463, L29
- Gould, A. 1994, *ApJ*, 421, L71
- Gould, A. & Welch, D. L. 1996, *ApJ*, 464, 212
- Hajian A.R. et al. 1998, *ApJ*, 496, 484
- Hanbury Brown R., Davis J., Lake R.J.W. & Thompson R.J. 1974, *MNRAS*, 167, 475
- Heyrovský, D., Sasselov, D., Loeb, A. 1999, submitted to *ApJ*, astro-ph/9902273
- Lang, K.R. 1992, *Astrophysical Data I. Planets and Stars* (Berlin: Springer)
- Lennon, D.J., Mao, S. Fuhrmann, K. Gehren, T., 1996, *ApJ*, 471, L23
- Loeb, A. & Sasselov, D. 1995, *ApJ*, 491, L33
- Menzies, J.W., Cousins, A.W.J., Banfield, R.M. & Laing, J.D. 1989, *SAAO Circulars*, No 13, 1
- Milone, E.F., Stagg, C.R. & Kurucz, R.L. 1992, *ApJS*, 79, 123
- Mourard, D. et al. 1997, *A&A*, 317, 789
- Mozurkewich, D. et al. 1991, *AJ*, 101, 2207
- Nemiroff, R.J. & Wickramasinghe, W.A.D.T. 1994, *ApJ*, 424, L21
- Paczynski B. & Stanek K.Z. 1998, *ApJ*, 494, L219
- Peng, E. 1997, *ApJ*, 475, 43
- Press, W.H., Flannery, B.P., Teukolsky, S.A. & Vetterling, W.T. 1986, *Numerical Recipes* (New York: Cambridge University Press)
- Popper, D.M. 1984, *AJ*, 89, 132
- Quirrenbach A., Mozurkewich D., Buscher D.F., Hummel C.A. & Armstrong J.T. 1996, *A&A*, 312, 160
- Rhie, S.H. et al. (The MPS Collaboration) 1999, submitted to *ApJ*, astro-ph/9812252

- Ribas, I., Jordi, C., Torra, J. & Gimenez, A. 1997, A&A, 327, 207
- Richichi A. & Lisi F. 1990, A&A, 230, 355
- Richichi A., Chandrasekhar, T., Lisi, F., Howell, R.R., Meyer, C., Rabbia, Y., Ragland, S. & Ashok, N.M. 1995, A&A, 301, 439
- Richichi A., Lisi F. & Calamai G. 1991, A&A, 241, 131
- Richichi, A., Ragland, S., Stecklum, B. & Leinert, C.H., 1998, A&A, 338, 527
- Roddier F. & Roddier C. 1985, ApJ, 295, L21
- Sasselov, D.D. 1997, in Proceedings of 12th IAP Colloquium, “Variable Stars and the Astrophysical Returns of Microlensing Surveys,” eds. R. Ferlet, J.-P. Maillard, and B. Raban, p. 141
- Schechter, P.L., Mateo, M. & Saha, A. 1993, PASP, 105, 1342
- Scholz M. 1997, IAU Symposium 189, Fundamental Stellar Properties: The interaction between observation and theory, eds. T.R. Bedding, A.J. Booth and J. Davis, p. 51
- Stanek, K.Z. 1998, submitted to ApJ, astro-ph/9802307
- Stanek K.Z. & Garnavich P.M. 1998, ApJ, 503, L131
- Udalski, A. et al. (The OGLE Collaboration) 1994b, ApJ, 436, L103
- Udalski, A. et al. (The OGLE Collaboration) 1998, Acta Astron., 48, 431
- Valls-Gabaud, D. 1995, Proc. 10th Cosmology Meeting, “Large scale structure in the Universe,” eds. J. Muckel, S. Gottlober & V. Muller (World Scientific) 326
- Valls-Gabaud, D. 1998, MNRAS, 294, 747
- van Hamme, W. 1993, AJ, 106, 2096
- White, N.M. & Feierman, B.F. 1987 AJ, 94, 751
- Wilson R.W., Baldwin J.E., Buscher D.F. & Warner P.J. 1992, MNRAS, 257, 369
- Witt, H. J. 1995, ApJ, 449, 42
- Witt, H. J. & Mao, S. 1994, ApJ, 430, 505

Table 1. PLANET model parameters for Galactic bulge event MACHO 97-BLG-28.

	Formal DoPHOT Error Bars			“Frame Quality” Error Bars		
	LD2	LD1	UB	LD2	LD1	UB
$\chi^2_{\min}$	1913	1930	3255	264	281	450
# d.o.f	567	569	571	667	669	671
# parameters	19	17	15	19	17	15
t_E [d]	27.3	27.2	26.1	27.3	27.3	26.1
t_0 [d]	895.58	895.58	895.63	895.58	895.58	895.63
u_0	0.0029	0.0030	0.021	0.0029	0.0034	0.021
d	0.686	0.687	0.678	0.686	0.688	0.680
q	0.234	0.232	0.277	0.234	0.231	0.276
α	1.426	1.424	1.406	1.427	1.422	1.419
f_I	0.97	0.98	0.98	0.97	0.98	0.96
f_V	0.84	0.84	0.85	0.85	0.88	0.87
ρ_*	0.0286	0.0287	0.0296	0.0286	0.0289	0.0295
c_I	0.40	0.75	0	0.38	0.83	0
c_V	0.55	0.92	0	0.55	0.95	0
d_I	0.37	0	0	0.38	0	0
d_V	0.44	0	0	0.43	0	0
m_0^{LI}	15.632	15.633	15.620	15.630	15.631	15.597
m_0^{SI}	15.643	15.644	15.631	15.643	15.645	15.609
m_0^{TI}	15.708	15.703	15.727	15.697	15.697	15.673
m_0^{LV}	17.899	17.898	17.889	17.909	17.930	17.900
m_0^{SV}	17.906	17.905	17.903	17.914	17.938	17.903
m_0^{TV}	17.926	17.918	17.965	17.947	17.959	17.968

Note. — In separate columns, model parameters for 2-parameter limb-darkened (LD2), 1-parameter limb-darkened (LD1) and uniformly bright (UB) source models are listed. The first three columns indicate fits of high quality data with DoPHOT-reported error bars; the last three fits are of all data with empirical “Frame Quality” uncertainties based on typical scatter for similar brightness stars on individual frames. The baselines m_0 refer to the total modeled flux (source + blend) at the given observing site (L=LaSilla, S=SAAO, T=Tasmania) and in the given band (I or V).

Figure Captions

Fig. 1.— *Left*: The high-quality subset of PLANET photometry for 97-BLG-28 spanning a 300 day period and consisting of 431 *I*-band points (bottom) and 155 *V*-band points (top) from three PLANET observing stations in Tasmania (green), Chile (black), and South Africa (red) is shown with baseline points taken the following season. Light curves for our best-fitting limb-darkened model (LD2) are superimposed. *Right*: An enlargement showing the 30-day period near the peak.

Fig. 2.— Flux-calibrated, combined red and blue spectra taken with EFOSC on the ESO 3.6m. The spectrum has not been dereddened, and was taken before the anomalous peak when the event was only slightly magnified.

Fig. 3.— Calibrated, dereddened color-magnitude diagram of the MACHO 97-BLG-28 field, taken from SAAO 1m PLANET data. A reddening of $E(V - I) = 1.095$ has been applied, as spectral typing and isochrone fitting to the red clump and red giant branch. Also shown are the dereddened position on the diagram of the unmagnified, blended source (filled circle), and the positions of the blend and unblended source (open circles) as inferred from modeling. Isochrones from Bertelli et al. 1994 of age 6 Gyr and solar and super-solar metallicity are overplotted. The faint blend star would lie along indicated reddening vector (i.e., would be fainter and redder than shown) if it suffered less extinction than the field mean.

Fig. 4.— *Left*: Light curves for our best fit, the 2-parameter limb-darkening model (LD2), superimposed on PLANET *V* and *I* data sets for a 3-day period centered on the cusp-crossing (bottom) and a 16-hour period during which the stellar limb swept over the cusp (top). *Right*: Same for the uniform bright source model (UB). DOPHOT estimates for relative photometric uncertainties have been assumed; the rescaled error bars are generally comparable to the size of the plotted points, and so have been omitted.

Fig. 5.— Configuration of binary lens and trajectory of the extended source on the sky from the 2-parameter limb-darkening model. The lens caustics are shown as the closed, pointed shapes in the center and right side of the diagram. The path of the source is indicated by the straight parallel lines; the distance between the two outer parallel lines corresponds to the source width. Lens positions are shown as crosses; M_1 is the heavier of the two. All distances are in units of the angular Einstein radius.

Fig. 6.— *Top*: Magnification curves for 10 uniformly bright, equal-area rings of a source crossing a cusp with the geometry of Fig. 5. *Bottom*: The contribution to the total light at

any given time from each of the uniformly bright rings.

Fig. 7.— V and I band residuals (Model - Data) for the models of Fig. 4 during the brief period in which the cusp swept over the trailing limb of the source. (Rescaled) formal DOPHOT error bars are shown. The different sampling rates and observing conditions at the three sites (successively La Silla, Tasmania and SAAO) is apparent. *Left*: Residuals for our best fitting 2-parameter limb-darkening model (LD2). *Right*: Same for the uniformly bright source model (UB).

Fig. 8.— Source radial profiles in the I-band (*left*) and V-band (*right*) for uniformly-bright (thin solid line) and our 2-parameter limb-darkened source (thick solid line) models. Also shown are the theoretical expectations in the Cousins I and Johnson V bands based on atmospheric models for K0 — K5 giants (dashed lines) from two different literature sources (see text).

Fig. 9.— *Top panel*: The larger (M_1 , solid lines) and smaller (M_2 , dashed lines) lens masses shown as a function of x , the ratio of lens to source distances. Parallel lines enclose the range of uncertainty dominated by the uncertainty in the source radius, estimated to lie between 13 and $17 R_\odot$. A source distance of 8 kpc has been assumed. *Bottom panel*: The projected binary separation is shown in AU as a function of x for the same source radii.

















